



CRM-M-9077-2026 (O&M) 1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

109

CRM-M-9077-2026 (O&M)

Date of Decision:09.03.2026

Gagandeep Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. K. S. Brar, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, DAG Punjab

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in DDR No.45 dated 09.09.2025, under Section 118(1), 3(5) of BNS, registered at Police Station Jaito, District Faridkot in FIR No.130 dated 09.09.2025 under Sections 118(1), 115(2), 191(3) and 190 of BNS registered at same police station.

2. On 17.02.2026, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Petitioner, an accused in DDR No.45 dated 09.09.2025, registered against her, for commission of offence punishable under Sections 118(1), 3(5) of BNS at P.S. Jaitu, District Faridkot arising out of FIR No.130 dated 09.09.2025 registered u/s 118(1), 115(2), 191(3), 190 of BNS, at Police Station Jaitu, District Faridkot, has prayed for grant of pre-arrest bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the DDR. On the statement of her



CRM-M-9077-2026 (O&M) 2

husband, FIR has been registered against complainant of the DDR. Thus, it being a case of version and cross-version, it has yet to be ascertained as to which of the parties were the aggressors. In any case, even if the allegations leveled in the DDR are taken to be true at its face value (though not admitted), the role attributed to the petitioner is that she inflicted injury on the finger of left hand of Pavittar Singh. The said injury has been declared as 'Simple' in nature.

The next leg of argument raised by learned counsel for the petitioner is that on account of intervention made by respectables of village, the matter has been amicably settled in terms of compromise dated 24.09.2025 (Annexure P-3). Learned counsel further submits that petitioner, whose past antecedents are clean, is nonetheless willing to join the investigation as and when called for by the IO.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Jatinder Pal Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and seeks time to file detailed status report.

Adjourned to 09.03.2026.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of her arrest, the petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to her furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).

To be tagged along with CRM-M-64135-2025."



CRM-M-9077-2026 (O&M) 3

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has joined investigation. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, she shall make herself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation. She also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 17.02.2026 granting interim bail to her, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. (now 482(2) of BNSS, 2023)

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to her.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

March 09, 2026
dinesh

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No