

CRM-M-9268-2025 (O & M)

2026:PHHC:043912



::1::

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(110)

CRM-M-9268-2025 (O & M)

Date of decision:19.03.2026

Satvir Singh @ Laddi

..... Petitioner

V/s

State of Punjab

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI**

Present: Mr. Davinder Singh Saini, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harkanwar Jeet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

**JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)**

The prayer in this petition under Section 483 BNSS, 2023 is for the grant of the regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.37 dated 07.03.2023 under Sections 302, 34 IPC (later on added Section 323 IPC) registered at Police Station Sri Anandpur Sahib.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that two of the witnesses, namely, Ranjit Singh and Gurdarshan Singh have not identified the petitioner as the main assailant. Subsequently, the statement of Lovepreet Singh was recorded, as per which, the fatal injury has been attributed to Harwinder Singh alias Pamma. In the occurrence, the fingers of the hands of the petitioner have been amputated by a sword blow given by Pardeep Singh alias Prince-deceased. As the petitioner is in custody since 29.04.2023 but only 07 out of the 24 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon and therefore, he is entitled to the concession of bail.

3. The learned counsel for the State, on the other hand, while referring to the status report dated 21.04.2025 contends that the petitioner is



a part of the unlawful assembly which caused the death of Pardeep Singh alias Prince. Therefore, the petitioner is not entitled to the concession of bail. He, however, concedes that the fingers of the petitioner have been amputated by a sword blow inflicted by Pardeep Singh alias Prince-deceased, that as per the version of Lovepreet Singh, Harwinder Singh alias Pamma is the main assailant, that the petitioner is in custody since 29.04.2023 and that only 07 out of the 24 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far.

- 4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.
- 5. The culpability of the petitioner shall be established during the course of the Trial. Admittedly, the petitioner is in custody since 29.04.2023 but only 07 out of the 24 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far. Therefore, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. In this situation, the further incarceration of the petitioner is not required.
- 6. Thus, without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner, namely, Satvir Singh @ Laddi is ordered to be released on bail to the satisfaction of the Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.
- 7. The present petition stands disposed of.
- 8. The pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of accordingly.

March 19, 2026  
sukhpreet

( JASJIT SINGH BEDI)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No