



**In the High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana at
Chandigarh**

105

CRM-M-8883-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 01.05.2026

Manish

... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present:- Mr. Parminder Singh Sekhon, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Sweedel Goyal, Advocate and
Mr. Gurinder Singh Khattri, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satbir Singh Goripuria, DAG, Haryana.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 (438 of Cr.P.C.), has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.15 dated 19.01.2026, registered under Sections 15-C of NDPS Act, at Police Station Sadar Narwana, District Jind.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case on the basis of disclosure statement of a co-accused, which is inadmissible in evidence. It is further submitted that the petitioner is not the owner of the fields from where the alleged contraband was recovered and that nothing has been recovered from his conscious possession. On these grounds, prayer has been made for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

3. Notice of motion.



CRM-M-8883-2026 (O&M) (2)

4. Mr. Satbir Singh Goripuria, DAG, Haryana, accepted notice on behalf of respondent-State and opposed the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner by submitting that the petitioner is, in fact, the owner of the fields from where the contraband was recovered and that the investigating agency has obtained a report from the Halka Patwari confirming his ownership. It is contended that the petitioner is a habitual offender and is involved in three other cases under NDPS Act. He further contended that the petitioner is already in custody in another case and that his production warrants in the present case have been issued for 02.05.2026.

5. Heard.

6. After considering the rival submissions of learned counsel for the parties and the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court finds no merit in the present petition on the following aspects:

- (i) Large quantity of contraband recovered i.e. 276.100 kilograms of Poppy husk, which falls under commercial quantity;
- (ii) As submitted by learned State counsel, the petitioner is the owner of the fields from where the contraband was recovered;
- (iii) He is a habitual offender and is involved in three other cases under NDPS Act.
- (iv) He is already in custody in another case and that his production warrants in the present case have been issued for 02.05.2026.

7. In view of the above, the present petition for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is dismissed.

01.05.2026
Geeta

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking /reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No