



CRM-M-9155-2026 (O&M) -1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

250

**CRM-M-9155-2026 (O&M)
Decided on: 27.04.2026**

LOVEJOT SINGH

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. Govind Arora, Advocate (Through VC)
for the petitioner.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023 seeking grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No.02 dated 06.01.2025 under Sections 3(5), 316(2), 318(4), 351(3) of BNS registered at Police Station Shahzadpur, District Ambala.

2. Brief facts of the case are that a complaint was made by Ram Pal against Gurjeet Singh @Bali, Lovejot Singh son of Gurjeet Singh @ Bali (the present petitioner) and Paramjit Kaur wife of Gurjeet Singh @ Bali alleging that the accused Gurjeet Singh @ Bali, his son, namely Lovejot and his wife, have taken Rs.13,74,000/- from the complainant by alluring him to send him abroad and on different dates, different amounts



were given to accused Gurjeet Singh, his son Lovejot Singh and his wife, Paramjit Kaur. It is also alleged that the accused Gurjeet Singh, his wife Paramjit Kaur and his son Lovejot Singh have sent fake passport and visa.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has been falsely involved in this case as his father namely Gurjeet Singh is working as an immigration agent and for this reason, his entire family has been implicated in the present case including his mother; the petitioner has not received any amount as the alleged googlepay number does not belong to the present petitioner; co-accused namely Paramjeet Kaur has already been granted the concession of bail; the present petitioner has been in custody for the last 08 months 29 days; investigation has been completed & challan stands presented and out of total 16 prosecution witnesses cited, none has been examined till date; trial will take sufficient time to conclude, therefore, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars as he is not required for recovery purposes; and as such, prayed for concession of regular bail.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Surender Singh Pannu, Addl. A.G., Haryana, who is present in Court, accepted notice on behalf of respondent-State, and by way of filing of custody certificate dated 31.03.2026, opposed the concession of regular bail to the petitioner while submitting that the



present petitioner alongwith co-accused duped the complainant to the tune of Rs.13.74 lakh and the complainant paid the said amount after selling his shop and as such, prayed for dismissal of the present petition.

6. Heard.

7. Taking into consideration the facts & circumstances of the present case and the contentions raised by learned counsel for the parties and further without commenting on the merits of the case, the fact that the investigation has been completed & challan has been filed before the trial Court; out of 16 cited prosecution witnesses, none has been examined so far; the present petitioner has been in custody for a period of more than 08 months & 29 days; trial will take sufficient time to conclude and no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping him in custody for any further period, as concession of bail cannot be denied just as a measure of punishment as culpability is to be decided by trial Court after appreciating evidence adduced by the parties, and it is a trite principle of criminal jurisprudence that bail is rule, jail is an exception, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is **allowed**. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.



9. Nothing observed herein shall be construed as an opinion on the merits of the main case.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

27.04.2026
Sonia Puri

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO