



262

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

DECIDED ON: 22.04.2026

CWP-4175-2025 (O&M)

DEEPAK YADAV AND OTHERS

....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

....RESPONDENT(S)

CWP-10103-2025 (O&M)

NEERAJ KAPOOR AND ANR.

....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

....RESPONDENT(S)

CWP-11485-2025 (O&M)

ASHWANI AND ANR.

....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR.

....RESPONDENT(S)

CWP-12885-2025 (O&M)

MOHAN AND OTHERS

....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

....RESPONDENT(S)

CWP-12896-2025 (O&M)

GEETANJALI AND OTHERS

....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

....RESPONDENT(S)

CWP-14673-2024 (O&M)

KULDEEP AND ORS.

....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR.

....RESPONDENT(S)

CWP-18694-2025 (O&M)

DEEPAK AND OTHERS

....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

....RESPONDENT(S)

CWP-5344-2025 (O&M)

SUMAN AND OTHERS

....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

....RESPONDENT(S)

CWP-5356-2025 (O&M)

MANJEET AND OTHERS

....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

....RESPONDENT(S)

CWP-5364-2025 (O&M)

HARISH AND ANR.

....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

....RESPONDENT(S)

CWP-8790-2025 (O&M)

JAGMOHAN VERMA

....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR.

....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Himanshu Arora, Advocate for the petitioner(s)
in CWP-12885-2025 and CWP-12896-2025.

Mr. Vivek Aggarwal, Advocate for
Mr. Lalit Rishi, Advocate
for the petitioner in CWP-4175, 5356 and 5364-2025.

Ms. Ruchi Sekhri, Addl. A.G., Haryana

Mr. S.P.Arora, Advocate,
Mr. Himanshu Arora, Advocate
Ms. Muskan, Advocate

for respondents No. 4, 9, 11, 13 and 15 to 21 in CWP-4175-2025
for respondents No. 7 to 12, 4 to 16 and 18 to 24 in CWP-5344-2025
for respondents No. 4 to 9, 11 to 13 and 15 to 21 in CWP-5364-2025
and CWP-5356-2025

Mr. Abhishek Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Vishal Gupta, Advocate for respondents No. 3 and 4 in
CWP-10103-2025.

Mr. Dheeraj Narula, Advocate for respondent No.14 in CWP-5356-
2025

Mr.R.S.Kalra, Advocate with
Ms. Ashmit Kaur, Advocate for Caveator .

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

Vide this common order this Court shall dispose of above mentioned writ petition as common question of facts and law is involved therein.

For the sake of convenience, the facts are being taken from **CWP-4175-2025.**

This Civil Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari* for quashing the impugned order dated 10.02.2025 (Annexure P-8), whereby, in order to create vacancies for promotion of employees regularized under the Regularization Policy, 2014, a total of 18 Assistants of the Field Cadre, including the petitioners, have been reverted to the post of Clerk with immediate effect, while Clerks of the Field Cadre regularized under the said policy have been promoted to the post of Assistant in an arbitrary and illegal manner.

Written statements have been filed on behalf of respondents No. 4 to 9. Separate written statements have also been filed on behalf of respondents No. 1 and 3, as well as respondents No. 4 to 9, 11 to 13 and 15 to 21. However, the written statement on behalf of certain private respondents is still awaited.

At this stage, learned counsel for the respondents-Caveator submits that the Regularization Policy, 2014, under which the impugned action was taken, has since been upheld by the Supreme Court judgment passed in the matter of '*State of Haryana vs. Yogesh Tyagi*', *SLP (c) No. 31566-2018*.

It is further submitted that the impugned order was passed subject to the outcome of the aforesaid proceedings before the Supreme Court, which now stand finally adjudicated.

In view of the aforesaid development, learned counsel submits that the respondent-State is required to revisit the promotions and seniority of those whose services were regularized under the said Regularization Policy, 2014, as per the dictum laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in *Yogesh Tyagi's* case (supra). This position is also in consonance with the stand taken by the caveator/private respondents.

In view of the above, and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the present petitions are disposed of with a direction to the respondent-State to re-examine the cases of promotion and seniority of the concerned parties in the light of the judgment of the Supreme Court in *State of Haryana vs. Yogesh Tyagi* (supra), and to take a fresh decision, in accordance with law.

Learned State Counsel assures that endeavours in all fairness will be made to decide the issue within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Petitions stand disposed of accordingly.

Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file(s) of connected case(s).

22.04.2026
anuradha

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:Yes/No
Whether reportable	:Yes/No