

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

204-2

CWP-17323-2001

Date of decision: 09.01.2026

Bhupinder KaurPetitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present : Mr. Amit Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. V.K. Shukla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Swapan Shorey, DAG, Punjab.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Articles 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ of certiorari, for quashing the seniority list (Annexure P-7) to the extent it declares respondents No.3 to 7 as senior to the petitioner. Further, a writ of mandamus has also been sought for restraining the respondent-department to rectify the seniority list by fixing the seniority of the petitioner over and above respondents No.3 to 7 and also restraining the respondent-department from making promotions to the post of District Programme Officers from amongst respondents No.3 to 7 on the basis of the impugned seniority list.
2. Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that since the petitioner and private respondents have already retired from service, the relief claimed in the present petition has been rendered infructuous.
3. Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

09.01.2026

Vinay

(NAMIT KUMAR)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No