



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

213

CRM-M-8822-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision : 11.05.2026

Yogender @Rinku

..... Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Haryana

..... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA PARTAP SINGH

Present: Mr. Pragyat Bhardwaj, Advocate for
for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepali Verma, AAG Haryana.


SURYA PARTAP SINGH, J. (Oral)

Custody Certificate has been filed by learned State Counsel. The same be taken on record.

2. At the outset, it has been contended by learned State Counsel that in the present case as per list of witnesses, 19 prosecution witnesses are there and out of 19, 15 have already been examined.

3. In view of abovementioned contention, the learned counsel for the petitioner has requested that instead of pressing this petition for regular bail, at this stage, he would like to seek a direction to the learned trial Court to dispose of the trial in a timebound manner.

4. In view of above, the present petition with regard to regular bail is hereby **dismissed as withdrawn** at this stage. However, the learned trial



Court is directed to conclude the trial within a period of two months from the date of receipt of copy of present petition.

5. The present petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(SURYA PARTAP SINGH)
JUDGE

11.05.2026
Vinod

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No