



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

201

CRM-M-8377-2026
Date of decision: 10.04.2026

SHAKINA AND ANOTHERPetitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJABRespondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: - Mr. A.S. Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Dr. (Ms.) Savi Nagpal, Asst. A.G. Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 for grant of pre-arrest bail in case bearing FIR No 196 dated 14.10.2025 registered under Sections 333, 190, 191(2), 329(2) of the BNS, 2023 at Police Station City Budhlada, District Mansa.

Learned counsel for the petitioners *inter alia* contends that pursuant to the order dated 13.02.2026 passed by this Court, the petitioners have joined investigation and their custodial interrogation is not required for investigation of the case.

Learned State counsel on instruction from Mr. Gurpreet Singh, Investigation Officer, corroborates the said averment and submits that the custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required for the purpose of investigation.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Since the petitioners have joined the investigation and their custodial interrogation is not required, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 13.02.2026 is made absolute.

However, if required, the petitioners shall continue to join investigation as and when required to do so and shall abide by the terms and conditions, as laid down under Section 482 (2) BNSS.

APRIL 10, 2026
Vishal Sharma

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No