



-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

299

CRWP-1630-2026
Decided on: 21.04.2026

ANISHA AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. Soeb Khan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Karan Veer Singh, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition has been rendered infructuous as the petitioners have no threat perception and as such, seeks permission to withdraw the present petition.
2. Permitted to do so.
3. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn, as having become infructuous.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

21.04.2026

Sonia Puri

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO