



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COCp-725-2026(O&M)
Date of decision: 05.03.2026

Chand Lal
... Petitioner
Versus
Dharminder Sharma, IFS, and another
... Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Balbir Singh Sewak, Advocate,
for the petitioner.
Ms. Shiny Chopra, AAG, Punjab.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J. (ORAL)

At the outset, learned State counsel submits that in compliance of the directions issued vide order dated 07.11.2025, passed in CWP-32868-2025 (Annexure P-1), a speaking order has been passed by the concerned authority on 24.02.2026.

2. In response, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since the requisite speaking order has been passed, the petitioner does not wish to press the instant contempt petition and shall, if so advised, avail all such other remedies as shall be admissible in law against the said speaking order.
3. In view of the statement given by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present contempt petition is disposed of in terms thereof.
4. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

March 5, 2026
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No