



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

270

CRM-M-8145-2026
Decided on : 04.05.2026

Azam and others . . . Petitioner(s)
Versus
State of Haryana and others . . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Gaurav Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Amish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Gaurav Singla, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 5.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 528 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 482 Cr.P.C.), seeking quashing of the below detailed First Information Report (FIR), and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise dated 30.01.2026 (Annexure P-5), effected between the parties.

DETAILS OF CRIMINAL CASE:-

FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
184	07.11.2017	148/149/323/324/452/506 of IPC [later on Sections 148/149/324 of iPC have been deleted and Sections 307/34 of IPC has been added (as per charge order)]	Bichhore	Nuh

2. In the present case, other accused, namely, (i) Irfan s/o Bashir, (ii) Irshad, (iii) Basir, (iv) Irfan s/o Salmu, (v) Ayyub, (vi) Aamin, (vii) Akhtar, (viii) Aalam, and (ix) Saddam, were not found involved in the crime and have accordingly been declared innocent.

However, the remaining accused have filed the instant petition seeking quashing of the FIR and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise deed dated 30.01.2026 (Annexure P-2), effected between the parties.

3. So far as the offence under Section 307 of IPC is concerned, the explanation given by learned counsel for the petitioners is that both sides are co-villagers, and the compromise has now been arrived at with the object of restoring peace and maintaining harmony in the village, not only for themselves but also for the coming generations.

It is further submitted that initially, the offence was recorded under Section 304 IPC; however, at a later stage, the offence under Section 307 IPC came to be added. Therefore, it is contended that there is no definite possibility that, even if the trial is concluded on merits, it would necessarily result in conviction under Section 307 IPC.

4. Vide order dated 12.02.2026, the affected parties were directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for getting their respective statements recorded with regard to the compromise.

5. In response thereto, report has since been received from learned Additional District & Sessions Judge, Nuh, in pursuance to the directions of this Court wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report(s) compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondents have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioner is quashed.

6. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in

original, along with its report. The relevant part of the said report is reproduced here-below:

Sr. No.	Description	
1.	Total number of persons found involved as accused in the dispute/FIR	Four
2.	Number of complainant/victim(s)	Four
3.	Whether all the accused and complainant / victims are party to compromise & signed the same	Yes
4.	In case, any affected person (accused or complainant) is left out or not arrayed as party in the quashing petition before High Court, detail whereof; OR	No
	His/her statement is still to be recorded, in compliance to the direction of this Court, details of such person	--
5.	Whether any accused has been declared as a proclaimed offender/person or any such proceedings against him/her have been initiated or pending adjudication	No
6.	Report of the Court whether compromise is genuine, voluntary, and without any coercion or undue influence	Yes
7.	Any other aspect relevant to the present case.	All the relevant aspects have been detailed above.

7. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioner and the private respondents are the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

8. In view of the report of the learned Additional District & Sessions Judge, Nuh, and the principles laid down by Hon’ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

9. Needless to say that the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

10. **Petition stands disposed of.**

Pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

May 04, 2026

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*

Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*