

CRM-M-8673-2025
CRM-M-12712-2025
CRM-M-21188-2025

-1-

2025:PHHC:179752



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

123+124

1) CRM-M-8673-2025
Decided on : 29.01.2026

Rajat Petitioner(s)
Versus
State of Haryana Respondent(s)

2) CRM-M-12712-2025
Rakesh Kumari @ Rakesh Devi Petitioner(s)
Versus
State of Haryana Respondent(s)

3) CRM-M-21188-2025
Paras Petitioner(s)
Versus
State of Haryana Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. A.P.S.Deol, Sr. Advocate, with
Mr. Vishal R. Lamba, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-8673-2025)

Mr. Prashant Singh Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-12712-2025)

Ms. Mamta Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-21188 of 2025)

Mr. Kanwar Sanjeev Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. This order shall dispose of CRM-M-8673-2025, CRM-M-12712-2025 and CRM-M-21188-2025, as all the petitions are interconnected and have arisen out of same FIR.



Status reports dated 15.01.2026 and 28.01.2026, by way of affidavits of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Rewari, District Rewari, have been filed, which are taken on record. Registry to tag the same at an appropriate place.

2. The instant petitions have been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), for grant of regular bail to the petitioners, during the pendency of trial, who have been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed here-under:-

Name of Petitioner(s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Rajat	67	14.04.2023	302, 201 IPC (Section 120-B and 34 IPC were added lateron)	Rewari Sadar	Rewari
Rakesh Kumari					
Paras					

3. FIR in the present case was got registered at the instance of complainant-Prvinder Kumar (brother of deceased Arvind Kumar). As per allegations, on 13.04.2023, at about 2:00 am, Arvind Kumar, who drives the loading tempo bearing No.HR-47E-4019, left home with his tempo and never returned thereafter. The complainant received information that the tempo of his brother got struck in the mud road of Gokalgarh and then while searching for him, dead body of Arvind Kumar was found wrapped in tirpal and tied with ropes while tempo was found struck in Gokalgarh and thereupon, FIR in the instance cases was got recorded on 14.04.2023, alleging the offence of murder against unknown persons. On 15.04.2023, complainant-Parvinder Kumar gave his supplementary statement under Section 161 Cr.P.C., alleging therein that he has suspicion on his babhi-Rakesh wife of Arvind as some blood stains were noticed at the house and



also due to some abnormal behaviour of his babhi. Thereupon, accused-Rakesh was arrested on 15.04.2023, who got recorded his disclosure statement (appended with the status report dated 15.01.2026). As per disclosure statement dated 16.04.2023 of Rakesh Devi, deceased-Arvind (her husband) was a promiscuous man, who used to look at daughter-Priya with wrong intentions and used to molest her. Priya had made complaint about these things to Rakesh and her brother-Deepanshu (juvenile/CCL). However, deceased-Arvind never listened despite being pointed out to him. Further, it is recorded in the disclosure statement that Priya had told all this to her friend Paras (co-accused) about the teasing caused to her by deceased-Arvind. Developing it as a ground of motive to eliminate Arvind, further prosecution built up its case that Rajat also joined the mission to eliminate Arvind being friend of Paras, only for the reason that he was having friendly relation with Paras. Thus, on the fateful night all five of them gathered together and caused murder of Arvind at the house i.e. of Rakesh. Therefore, in the process other accused, namely, Priya, Rajat and Paras were also arrested and in turn their separate disclosure statements were recorded.

4. For further developing the allegations, prosecution found that Paras having Mobile No.9466557177 and Rajat having Mobile No.9027420526 were found in contact on dated 10, 11 and 12.04.2023, apart the telephonic talks between Priya having Mobile No.9729197177 with her friend Paras. However, despite being asked by the Court, State could not site any instance that Priya was in contact at any point of time with Rajat, who as per the case of the prosecution also joined hands to cause murder of



Arvind.

5. Thus, broadly speaking, admitted position as projected today before this Court, that the telephonic talks were found between Paras and Priya only and obviously Paras and Rajat since were friend, were also found in contact, but Priya was not found contacting on the mobile number of Rajat.

6. During investigation, the clothes worn by some of the accused persons were also recovered, however, as per opinion of FSL report, it could not be included that blood group of human blood found on it, actually belongs to the deceased.

7. Similarly, sample of dirty cotton wool swab (Ex.1), few wall scrapping described as blood (Ex.2), four wooden pieces (Ex.3) and four ropes pieces & one dirty cream colour tripaal (Ex.4a and 4b) were also recovered. However, as per FSL report, it is recorded that though, the human blood was found on it but the same was reported to be inconclusive.

Therefore, Mr. Deol, learned senior counsel argues that from the said FSL report, no view can be taken against the accused that the blood which has been detected on the said exhibits/parcels, was actually of the deceased-Arvind or of somebody else. Additionally, it is also argued that Deepanshu, who faced proceedings before the Juvenile Justice Board has already been acquitted and in the said Court, complainant Parvinder Kumar did not support the case of the prosecution.

8. In the present case, till date, out of 28 prosecution witnesses, 14 have already been examined. Apart, out of 14 witnesses, 3 of them have



already resiled.

9. The case of the prosecution is that all the accused, who are petitioners before this Court are confined in Jail since the month of April, 2023. Thus, they are already inside jail for the last two years and nine months.

10. The case of the prosecution completely depends upon the circumstantial evidence and the disclosure statements of the arrested accused. After hearing respective counsel and by noticing all the aforementioned factors from the petition, appended documents and the status report filed by the State, this court does not find any necessity to detain the petitioners any longer inside the jail. Thus, petitions stand **allowed** and petitioners are directed to be released on bail, subject to their furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

11. Needless to observe that the petitioners shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

12. The observation made here-in-above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case and the Trial Court is expected to decide the case on the basis of complete evidence available on record.

13. It is further made clear that if, in future, petitioners are directly found indulged in similar kind of activities, this order shall be deemed to be

CRM-M-8673-2025
CRM-M-12712-2025
CRM-M-21188-2025

2025:PHHC:179752



cancelled.

14. **Petitions stand disposed of.**

Pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected

case.

January 29, 2026
nt

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : **Yes/No**
Whether reportable : **Yes/No**