



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

162/2

CR-1718-2024(O&M)

Decided on : 05.05.2026

Haryana State Industrial and Infrastructure
Development Corporation Limited

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

Poonam & others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Pritam Singh Saini, Advocate and
Ms. Surbhi Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARKESH MANUJA, J (ORAL)

1. By way of present revision petition, challenge has been made to the order dated 25.04.2023 passed by learned Additional District Judge, Sonapat, whereby the objections to the execution preferred at the instance of petitioner-judgment debtor was declined.

2. There is nothing on record to show as to how the appropriation of the amount deposited before the learned executing Court was desired by the petitioner-judgment debtor and/or for the respondent-landowners were ever put to notice about the same.

4. In such circumstances, it was the respondents-landowners/decreed-holder, who were to adjust the amount deposited by the judgment-debtor, as per their own convenience i.e. first towards cost, then interest and thereafter, principle. A perusal of the order dated 25.04.2023 passed by learned Executing Court shows that the calculations furnished by the decree-holder was rightly accepted and as such, the same does not call for any interference.

4. The present revision petition is devoid of merits and the same is hereby dismissed.

05.05.2026
monika

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No