



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-4632-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 05.03.2026

Sunil AnandPetitioner

Versus

The District and Sessions Judge, District Tarn Taran, Punjab, and others
.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Dhananjay Mittal, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Ms. Shubhreet Kaur, Advocate, for respondents No.1 and 3.

Mr. M.K. Dogra, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner, by way of instant writ petition, as cast under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, has assailed the order dated 03.10.2018 (Annexure P-2), vide which, his junior was promoted. Further, a prayer is made for issuance of a Mandamus upon respondent No.1, to promote him.
2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already been promoted, along with the benefit of seniority. However, the only grievance, which still subsists, is with respect to the monetary benefits, from the back date.
3. In view of the above, the instant writ petition is **disposed of**, being not pressed. However, since the issue of monetary benefits from the back date has not been raised in the instant writ petition, therefore, the petitioner is granted liberty to approach the authorities concerned, in this regard, if so advised.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

05.03.2026
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No