



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

204

CWP-15847-2001 (O & M)
Date of decision: 02.07.2026

SUDERSHAN KUMAR SHARMA

....Petitioner

Versus

THE HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Ms. Ekta Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Amrit Paul, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

None for respondents No.1 and 2.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner, at seniority No.74 is aggrieved with the fact that he was not considered, while respondent No.3, who was at No.47, had been granted promotion despite the fact that he had forgone the same on 17.11.1992, however, what emerges from the Instructions, Annexure R-1, appended with the written statement filed by respondent Nos.1 and 2 is that it was only for 1 year that he could not be promoted.
2. Further discernible therefrom is that, as per Service Rules, 1974 of HSAMB, in particular Rule 10 Appendix 'B' at Sr. No.37 and as a matter of fact, respondent No.3 fulfilled the qualifications and he



exercised his option for promotion as sought from all, consequent to which he was considered and rightly promoted. Moreover, the petitioner, in terms of the Rules, being a matriculate was required to have 7 years of experience, which he did not possess at the relevant time.

3. In view of the above, the present petition being bereft of merits is dismissed.

02.07.2026
parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No