



102

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCP-647-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision: 06.03.2026

Rajendra Kumar Saxena

...Petitioner

Versus

Shailesh Goel and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Saket Bhandari, Advocate and
Ms. Atika Rani, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Anurag Chopra, Advocate and
Mr. Aarush Munjal, Advocate for respondent Nos.1 to 4.

Mr. R.K. Choudhary, Advocate for
Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, Advocate for respondent Nos.5 and 6.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Status report on behalf of respondent Nos.5 and 6 has been filed
today in the Court which is taken on record.

2. On 05.03.2026, this Court had passed the following order:-

*“Present: Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Senior Advocate with
Mr.Devansh Verma, Advocate and
Mr. Saket Bhandari, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr. Anurag Chopra, Advocate
for respondents no.1 to 4.
Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, Advocate and*



*Mr. R.K. Choudhary, Advocate
for respondents no.5 and 6.*

*On 12.02.2026, this Court was pleased to pass the
following order:-*

*“Present:- Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Vishal Pundir, Advocate and
Mr. Anmol Rattan S. Dhillon, Advocate for
the petitioner.*

*Inter alia contends that although, it was
specifically stated on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 4 that
they would carry out the relevant rectification/repair
works so as to make the disputed property as a liveable
dwelling unit forthwith in accordance with report
Annexure A-1 (Annexure P-2 with the present petition)
and the matter was disposed of on 19.02.2025. It is
submitted that however, till date the said
rectification/repair works has not been done so as to
make the disputed property as a liveable dwelling unit.*

Notice of motion for 05.03.2026.

To be shown in the urgent list.

12.02.2026”

*Learned counsel appearing for respondents no.1 to
4 has submitted that as per Annexure P-2, the amount, which is
required to make house as liveable dwelling unit, is
Rs.7,44,630/- and has further submitted that the respondents
no.1 to 4 are ready to carry out the construction by spending
the said amount or in the alternative, to offer to pay the said
amount to the petitioner, so that the petitioner at his own end
can carry out the construction.*

*Learned senior counsel for the petitioner, on
instructions from the petitioner, who is present in the Court,*



has submitted that the amount of Rs.7,44,630/- be paid to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the respondent prays for an adjournment to make the said payment.

Adjourned to 06.03.2026. To be taken up in the urgent list.

March 05, 2026”

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 4 has submitted that they have given a cheque amounting to Rs.7,44,630/- prepared in the name of the petitioner and a photocopy of the said cheque has been handed over to the Court during the course of arguments which is taken on record and marked as Mark “A”. A copy of the same has been handed over to the petitioner who is present in the Court.

4. Learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 to 4 has assured the Court that the said cheque would be honoured on presentation. He has further submitted that after encashment of the said cheque, respondent Nos.1 to 4 would approach the petitioner for issuance of NOC which has to be submitted to respondent Nos.5 and 6 for regularisation of House No.160, Sector 2, Panchkula. It is submitted that the petitioner be directed to issue NOC.

5. Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, has submitted that in case the cheque is encashed, they would issue necessary NOC as and when respondent Nos.1 to 4 would approach the petitioner.

6. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances and fair stand taken on behalf of the petitioner as well as respondent Nos.1 to 4, the present contempt petition is disposed of.



7. As agreed before this Court, after encashment of the cheque, it would be open to respondent Nos.1 to 4 to approach the petitioner for issuance of NOC with respect to House No.160, Sector 2, Panchkula and on respondent Nos.1 to 4 approaching the petitioner, the petitioner is directed to issue NOC.

8. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

06.03.2026

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No