

**CRM-M-8155-2026****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****326****CRM-M-8155-2026
Date of decision: 25.03.2026****CHARANJIT SINGH @ CHARANJEET SINGH AND ORS.
.... PETITIONER(S)
VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS. ...RESPONDENT(S)****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI**

Present: Mr. Nirmaljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Athar Ahmed, DAG, Punjab.
Ms. Manpreet Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Ajay Singh Pundir, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

(THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING)

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of an FIR No.98 dated 28.07.2025 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 126, 115(2), 118(1), 351(2) and 3(5) of BNS at Police Station Phool, District Bathinda, Punjab along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the petitioners and respondent Nos.2 and 3.

Vide order dated 12.02.2026, this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise and affidavit dated 06.02.2026 (Annexure P-2).

The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.

Pursuant to the order dated 12.02.2026 passed by this Court, the parties have appeared before the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Phul and as per the report dated 17.03.2026 submitted to this Court, both the



CRM-M-8155-2026

parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complaint coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in “*Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543*”.

In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Phul accompanied by statements of both the parties, the FIR No.98 dated 28.07.2025 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 126, 115(2), 118(1), 351(2) and 3(5) of BNS at Police Station Phool, District Bathinda, Punjab along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

25.03.2026

Kusum

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No