

**270 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2026.PHHC.069157



CRM-M-8239-2026 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 04.05.2026

INDERJIT SINGH AND OTHERS ... PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS ... RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Ajay Singh Pandhir, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Surinderjit Singh Nahar, AAG, Punjab.
Mr. Nirmaljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for respondent Nos.2 to 4.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

CRM-19311-2026

This is an application under Section 528 of BNSS for seeking direction to the Registry of this Court/High Court Legal Service Committee, Chandigarh to accept Demand Draft No.001098 dated 10.03.2026 for an amount of Rs.10,000/- in favour of High Court Legal Service Committee.

Application is allowed.

Registry of this Court/High Court Legal Service Committee is directed to accept the abovesaid demand draft as costs imposed vide order dated 12.02.2026 passed by this Court.

Main case

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 528 BNSS for quashing of cross case DDR/G.D. No. 31 dated 11.09.2025 registered under Sections 115(2), 191(3), 190, 351(3) of BNS at Police Station Bhagta Bhai Ka (Dayalpura), District Bathinda, Punjab in FIR No. 159 dated 11.09.2025,

registered under Sections 331(6), 115(2), 191(3), 190, 351(3) & 324(4) of

BNS, at Police Station Bhagta Bhai Ka (Dayalpura), District Bathinda, Punjab and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-3).

2. This Court vide order dated 12.02.2026 had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to send its report qua the genuineness of the compromise.

3. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, parties have appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Phul and got their statements recorded. On the basis of the statements so recorded, learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Phul has submitted copy of report dated 04.04.2026 to the effect that the compromise has been effected between the parties voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence.

4. Learned State counsel as well as counsel for respondent Nos.2 to 4 have not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties.

5. In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant FIR.

6. Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052** and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others* (2012) 10 SCC 303, this petition is allowed and DDR/G.D. No. 31 dated 11.09.2025 registered under Sections 115(2), 191(3), 190, 351(3) of BNS at Police Station Bhagta Bhai Ka (Dayalpura), District Bathinda, Punjab in FIR No. 159 dated 11.09.2025, registered under Sections 331(6), 115(2), 191(3), 190, 351(3) & 324(4) of BNS, at Police Station Bhagta Bhai Ka (Dayalpura),

District Bathinda, Punjab and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom
are quashed qua the petitioners on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-3).

04.05.2026
Janki

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE