



**201-3 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-23827-2019

Date of decision: 29.04.2026

Sumit Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Piyush Khanna, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Anil Chawla, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present civil writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari* for quashing the order dated 02.01.2015 (Annexure P-14) passed by respondent No.4. Further, for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to consider the plea of the petitioner keeping in view of the fact that similarly situated persons have already been extended the benefit of view of Chief Minister's announcement (CM Code No.2386 dated 07.10.2007) regarding employment of one member each of the family of the oustees. Further, for issuance of directions that R&R policy dated 09.11.2010 (Annexure P-8) be read along with the C.M. announcement for rehabilitation of oustees i.e. M Code No.2386 dated 07.10.2007 and also to constitute a committee of impartial members for examining the claim of the petitioners and their disposal at the earliest.

2. Despite the case having been called twice, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. It appears that neither the petitioner nor his counsel is interested in pursuing the present petition.
3. Under these circumstances, having left with no other option, the present writ petition stands dismissed for non-prosecution accordingly.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)

JUDGE

29.04.2026

Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No