



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

222

CWP-4766-2020

Date of decision: 09.04.2026

Komal Kumar and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present : Mr. Ashok Kumar Khunger, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Siddharth Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rishabh Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

Mr. Nitin Kaushal, Advocate and
Mr. Sahil, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioners under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ of certiorari for quashing the orders dated 16.12.2019 (Annexures P-21 and P-22), passed by respondent No.6. Further, a writ of mandamus has been sought for issuance of directions to the respondents to allow the petitioners to continue their duties in the respondent No.6-college and respondents No.5 to 8 may be directed to regularize the services of the petitioners from 17.08.2016, with all consequential benefits.

2. Vide order dated 24.02.2020, while issuing notice of motion, question of maintainability of the present writ petition was left

open. Thereafter, on 23.09.2025, the following order was passed:-

“There is no representation on behalf of the petitioners.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.3 submits that the present writ petition is not maintainable before this Court in view of Section 6 of the Punjab Affiliated Colleges (Security of Service of Employees) Act, 1974.

Adjourned to 09.04.2026.

The Registry is directed to inform the learned counsel for the petitioners about the next date of hearing telephonically as well as by sending a message on his registered e-mail id.”

3. Learned counsel for the respondents submit that the present writ petition is not maintainable and the petitioners ought to have approached the Educational Tribunal, Punjab.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners wishes to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to avail other legal alternative remedies, in accordance with law.
5. Dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.

09.04.2026

Vinay

**(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No