



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

FAO-2198-2003 (O&M) &
FAO-4393-2006
Date of decision: 14.05.2026

KARAM SINGH ...Appellant

Versus

Parkash Kaur and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present: None for the appellants.

Mr. Jayant Chauhan, Advocate for
Mr. Vinod Chandheri, Advocate
for respondent No.5 – Insurance Company.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

Both these appeals have arisen out of the same award dated 18.01.2003 of the MACT, Patiala. FAO-2198-2003 was filed by the owner of the offending vehicle, whereas FAO-4393-2006 was filed by the claimants seeking enhancement.

2. Court notice was sent to the appellants. Today, the report has been received that the appellant of FAO-2198-2003 has since expired. Notice to the three appellants of FAO-4393-2006 has been received back unserved with the report of incomplete address.

3. No application to bring on record the LRs of the appellant of FAO-2198-2003 has been filed.

4. No other address of the appellants of FAO-4393-2006 is available.

5. In the aforesaid circumstances, both these appeals stand disposed of with liberty to get the same revived in case, any cause of action survives.

6. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

14.05.2026
Yogesh

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-
Whether reportable:-

Yes/No
Yes/No