



CWP-4068-2026

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

122

CWP-4068-2026

Date of Decision: 09.04.2026

Jaibir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Mr. Vikram Singh Lakhlan, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Ravish Kaushik, Advocate for the respondents

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to correct electricity bill of May' 2018 wherein unexplained sundry charges have been included. He is further seeking setting aside of notice dated 20.01.2026 whereby respondent has raised demand of ₹4,08,655/-.

2. The petitioner filed civil suit against inclusion of sundry charges in the bill of May' 2018. The respondent filed written statement. The Civil Court decreed the suit. The respondent preferred appeal which came to be allowed vide order dated 03.12.2025 on the ground that Civil Court had no jurisdiction. The respondent has issued notice dated 20.01.2026 raising demand of ₹4,08,655/-.

3. Mr. Ravish Kaushik, Advocate appeared and filed his Power of Attorney on behalf of the respondent. The same is taken on record. Registry

is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place.

**CWP-4068-2026****-2-**

4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that matter may be referred to Consumer Grievances Redressal Forum which would examine the matter. It is a technical issue.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submit that respondent has disconnected petitioner's electricity supply. In the meantime, electricity supply may be restored.

6. In the wake of statement of both sides, the petition stands disposed of with a direction to Consumer Grievances Redressal Forum to examine the issue. The present petition shall be treated as petitioner's representation/application.

7. The respondent, in the meantime, shall restore electricity supply subject to deposit of ₹1 Lakh by the petitioner.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

09.04.2026*Mohit Kumar*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No