

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

208

2026:PHHC:070794



CRM-M-7469-2026 (O&M).
Date of decision: 06.05.2026.

DEEPAK KUMAR @ TOTA

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. I.P.S. Kohli, Advocate,
Mr. Sidharth Maini, Advocate, and
Ms. Bano Deswal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Aiman J. Chishti, AAG, Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.189 dated 13.08.2024, under Section(s) 109, 115(2), 126(2), 324(4), 351(3), 191(3) and 190 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Balongi, District S.A.S. Nagar, Mohali.

Reply already filed on behalf of the respondent-State is taken on record.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner states at Bar that pursuant to the orders dated 09.02.2026 and 07.04.2026 passed by this Court, the petitioner has surrendered before the trial Court and has been released on bail. He, however, submits that he has not yet received a copy of the order releasing the petitioner on interim bail.

Learned State counsel submits that she has no instructions and hence she is not in a position to, corroborate the aforesaid averment.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Since the petitioner has surrendered before the trial Court and has been released on bail, the present petition is allowed and the interim orders dated 09.02.2026 and 07.04.2026 are made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall continue to appear before the trial Court.

In case the aforesaid fact is found to be incorrect, the State shall be at liberty to move an appropriate application seeking cancellation of the bail.

May 06, 2026.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No