



211 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-7747-2026

Date of decision: 25.03.2026

SATNAM SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL

Present: Mr. Harsh Vardhan, Advocate
for the petitioner. (through VC).

Mr. Amit Shukla, DAG, Punjab.

RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL, J (Oral):

Through the instant petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (For short “BNSS”), the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.0131 dated 04.12.2025 under Sections 110, 126(2), 115(2), 351(2), 191(3) and 190 of the BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Rureke Kalan, District Barnala.

2. On 10.02.2026, following order had been passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court: -

“Prayer in the present petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023, is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in a case FIR No.0131 dated 04.12.2025, registered under Sections 110, 126(2), 115(2), 351(2), 191(3) and 190 of the BNS, 2023, at Police Station Rureke Kalan, District Barnala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely and frivolously implicated in the present FIR case. He submits that even otherwise, the injury



attributed to the petitioner has been found to be simple in nature. It is submitted that as no prima facie case is made out against the petitioner, thus, he deserves concession of anticipatory bail.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Gorav Kathuria, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and prays for time to have instructions.

Adjourned to 25.03.2026.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall join investigation before the Investigating Agency/Officer. He shall abide by the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS, 2023 (erstwhile Section 438(2) of Cr.P.C.):-

(i) That the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required to do so.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer.

(iii) That the petitioner shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 10.02.2026 passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

4. Learned counsel for the State has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further



investigation.

5. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, the interim order dated 10.02.2026 is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

(RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL)
JUDGE

25.03.2026
monika

- 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes /No
- 2. Whether reportable : Yes /No