

2026:PHHC:062277



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

209

CWP-14346-2001

Date of decision: April 23, 2026

SOHAN LAL AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Aakash Singla, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)

The petition has been filed *inter alia* seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to allow the petitioners to submit fresh options for adopting the revised pay scales under the provisions of Rules 4 and 5 of the Haryana Civil Services (Revised Pay) Rules, 1980, and Rules 5 and 6 of the Haryana Civil Services (Revised Pay) Rules, 1987, and grant the same with all consequential benefits.

2. Learned State counsel refers to the written statement to submit that the petition is bereft of necessary particulars as the petitioners have failed to attach the documentary proof with regard to the orders of the competent authority under which their pay scales were revised retrospectively and the orders of this Hon'ble Court under which they were allowed higher pay scales on acquiring of higher qualifications in terms of the Punjab Government letter dated 23.07.1957. Besides, petitioners no.1 and 2 had already retired from service at the time of filing of the petition.

3. It is apparent on record that despite the aforementioned specific objections taken by the respondents in the written statement, the facts have not been disputed, nor has any document been placed on record to establish the petitioners' case even *prima facie*.
4. In view thereof, there is no ground to entertain the petition and it stands dismissed.

April 23, 2026
Jaspreet Kaur

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

✓
Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*