



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP-578-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision: 23.03.2026

Tej Pal and others

...Petitioners

V/s

Krishan Kumar and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Neeraj Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Shiny Chopra, AAG, Punjab.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

The instant contempt petition alleges disobedience of order dated 19.03.2025 (Annexure P-1) passed in CWP-6121-2020, vide which, the impugned order dated 12.08.2026 was set aside and the petitioners were held entitled for computing the counting of total length of service which would include the service rendered by them before being regularized as qualifying service for computing the entitled of leave encashment also and the respondents were directed to recalculate the entitlement of the petitioners for the encashment of leave under the order and grant them the benefit as admissible to them within a period of 8 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of the order.

2. At the outset, learned counsel representing the State of Punjab submits that the order has been complied with and requisite payments have been made to all the petitioners.

3. That being so, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he does not press the present contempt petition as no grievance subsists and that

the petitioners shall, if so advised, avail all such remedies as shall be admissible in law, in case any grievance still subsists.

4. In view of the statement given by learned counsel for the petitioners, the present contempt petition is disposed of as not having been pressed. Rule stands discharged.

Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

March 23, 2026

vcgarg

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No