



CRM-M-8882 of 2026

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

104

CRM-M-8882 of 2026
Date of Decision: 16.04.2026

Jaspal Singh @ Hira Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL

Present: Mr. Atinder Pal Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravinder Singh, DAG, Punjab.

RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL, J (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 is for confirmation of the bail to the petitioner after addition of Section 326 IPC in case FIR No.227 dated 28.07.2023 registered under Sections 323, 149, 341, 148, 324 and 379 of IPC vide GD No.026 dated 07.02.2025, at Police Station Divison 'B', District Amritsar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has already been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, vide order dated 06.11.2024. He argued that now after a lapse of considerable time, offence under Sections 325/326 of IPC have been added by the prosecution on the basis of subsequent medical opinion, which is not justified in the eyes of law. He further argued that the injuries were already within the knowledge of the prosecution at the

**CRM-M-8882 of 2026****-2-**

time of filing of the FIR and the Hon'ble Court has already considered the nature of allegations and medical evidence while granting anticipatory bail. Hence, he prays that the present petition be allowed.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Learned State counsel, who has appeared on advance notice of the petition, has opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail, by submitting that Sections 325/326 have been added after considering the medical evidence on record. Hence, he prays that the present petition be dismissed.

5. Heard.

6. In the present case, it is not disputed that the petitioner was already granted the concession of anticipatory bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, vide order dated 06.11.2024 and now, after a considerable gap of time, Sections 325/326 have been added in the FIR. In view of the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court is inclined to grant relief to the petitioner. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed. However, the petitioner shall continue to join investigation as and when required by the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

(RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL)
JUDGE

16.04.2026*D.Bansal*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No