



CRM-M-7048-2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

211

CRM-M-7048-2026
Decided on : 14.05.2026

Sanjay @ Masum @ Deepak

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present : Mr. Manmeet Singh Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Pawan Kumar Jhanda, Sr. DAG Haryana

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. The instant second petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, during the pendency of trial, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner(s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Sanjay @ Masum @ Deepak, aged 23 years	270	01.09.2023	307, 341, 34 IPC, Sections 25/54/59 of Arms Act, 1959	Sadar Dadri	Charkhi Dadri

2. The case of the prosecution was got registered by complainant-Pradeep, who got recorded his following version :

“To, The S.H.O Sahib, Charkhi Dadri, Sir, it is requested that I, Pradeep S/o Jai Singh is resident of Khatiwas and I work in Navy. That on date 01.09.2023 about 5.30 PM, myself and Sonu S/o Shyam Lal and Lalit Kumar S/o Inder Singh by riding on our bike No. HR-19S-7023 were coming from village Khatiwas to Dadri side. That when we were between Khatiwas and Rawalthi then from back side three youngmen came by riding on Splendor. I was driving the bike. Sonu



CRM-M-7048-2026

and Lalit were seated on rear side. That when that, bike came into our side then I saw that out of three riders of motorcycle in the middle one youngman from our village, Sanjay S/o Sudhir was seated. We were going very slowly. They crossed us and left for forward and they came by turning back the bike and they stopped their motorcycle in front of our motorcycle. I stopped motorcycle. Sanjay and one youngman came down and with intention to kill me they shot us. on were both have fired at me with weapon which they were holding in their hands. One fire shot came at right side of my mouth and motorcycle fell down. We all three myself, Sonu and Lalit got up and ran towards agricultural fields. Then these youngmen along with their weapons ran behind us and they have fired They all three having weapons. That by hearing the noise, persons from village have arrived on the spot. They all three youngmen along with their weapons by riding on their motorcycle fled away from That about 2 years back, scene. brother Sonu S/o Shyam Lal has quarrel because of animosity of that quarrel, Sanjay the my and along with his acquaintances has fired shots on me, Sonu and Lalit with intention to kill us. That the legal action be taken against them. Pradeep S/o Jai Singh resident of Khatiwas, Mobile No.9812797190 7190.

3. As per allegations, the shot fired by the petitioner with his pistol caused grievous injury on the right side of the face of the complainant/injured.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner is inside jail since 09.09.2023 i.e. for the last period of 02 years, 08 months and 05 days, however, till date only 10 prosecution witnesses have been examined out of total 23 prosecution witnesses. Further submits that co-accused of the petitioner namely Deepak @ Deepu, who though was not attributed any specific role in the incident, except of driving the motorcycle has been released on bail vide order dated 19.12.2025 passed in CRM-M-46511-2025. He further argues that both the prime witnesses i.e. complainant/injured and eye-witness-Sonu have already been examined, therefore, there is no apprehension of influencing or impressing upon the witnesses or tampering with the evidence, thus, prays for concession of regular bail.
5. On the other hand, learned State counsel submits that petitioner has



CRM-M-7048-2026

committed serious crime as he has attempted to fire at injured with an intention to kill him, which is clear from the fired shot which hit on the mouth of complainant/ injured. He further submits that at the instance of petitioner one pistol and four live cartridges were recovered, however, he does not dispute that only 10 prosecution witnesses have been examined till date and 13 are yet to be examined and the petitioner is inside jail for the last period of 02 years, 08 months and 05 days.

6. This Court has heard the submissions addressed by learned counsel for the parties and has carefully perused the record available before it.

7. It is noticed by this Court that petitioner is inside jail since 09.09.2023 and 13 prosecution witnesses are yet to be examined whereas injured and eye witness have already been examined before the trial Court, thus, this Court deems it appropriate to extend the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Consequently, prayer made in the present petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

8. Needless to observe that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

9. The observation made here-in-above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case and the Trial Court is expected to decide the case on the basis of complete evidence available on record.

10. It is further made clear that if, in future, petitioner is directly found indulged in similar kind of activities, this order shall be deemed to be cancelled.

11. Petition stands disposed of.



CRM-M-7048-2026

Pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

May 14, 2026

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

reena

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No