



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(234)

RSA-3055-2002 (O&M)**Date of Decision:-19.05.2026****SUKHCHAIN SINGH AND OTHERS**

... Appellants

Versus

DALBIR SINGH AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Saksham Khunger, Advocate,
Mr. K.S. Brar, Advocate
for the appellants.

Mr. Mukul Aggarwal, Advocate
for the respondent No.1

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. As per office report, notice issued to the appellants No.1, 2 and 4 received back with the report of their death. No LR's have come forward to implead themselves on behalf of appellants No.1, 2 and 4. Appellants No.3 and 5 refused to accept notice and were served through affixation. Appellant No.5 is not residing at the given address. It appears that the appellants are no longer interested in pursuing the present case.

2. As such, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the case on the docket, indefinitely.

3. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

4. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands dismissed for want of prosecution of, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

19th May, 2026
Poonam

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No

