



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

107

CRM-M-6875-2026
DECIDED ON: 14.05.2026

CHARANJEET SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH.

Present: Mr. B.S. Aulakh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. PKS Phoolka, Advocate,
for the complainant.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed by the petitioner, seeking grant of anticipatory bail, in case FIR No.347 dated 17.12.2025 registered under Sections 318(4) BNS, 2023 at Police Station Civil Lines, District Bathinda.

2. After hearing the submissions addressed by counsel for the petitioner, on 06.02.2026, following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is also the submission of learned counsel that petitioner is willing to settle the matter with the complainant.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Kamalpreet Bawa, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State. He seeks time to file the status report.

Perusal of the paperbook reveals that complainant has not been impleaded as one of the co-respondents.

On oral request of learned counsel for the petitioner, complainant Smt. Virpal Kaur wife of Gurjant Singh R/o Peori, Distt. Sri Mukthsar Sahib is hereby impleaded as respondent No.2.

Notice to newly impleaded respondent No.2 be issued for 18.02.2026.

Meanwhile, status report be also filed on or before the next date of hearing with an advance copy to the opposite counsel.”

3. Thereafter, again on 13.05.2026, following order was passed by this Court:-

“While issuing notice on 06.02.2026, petitioner got recorded statement that he is willing to settle the matter with the complainant. Again it was so recorded in the order dated 01.04.2026. Till date there is no indication available on record that petitioner has come present before the Court along with the proposal of payment of the amount.

List on 14.05.2026.

To be shown in the urgent list.”

4. Today, at the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, as of now, petitioner is not in a position to pay any amount to the complainant. He, therefore, seeks permission to withdraw the present petition, with liberty to file a fresh petition in the event, parties arrive at a compromise and petitioner is willing to compensate the complainant.

5. **In view of the statement made by counsel for the petitioner, present petition stands dismissed as withdrawn, with the liberty as sought and recorded here above.**

6. However, it is clarified that any fresh anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner shall be entertained only if petitioner is willing to compensate the complainant.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

14.05.2026

Lavisha

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No