

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

227 CRM-A-2711-MA-2018
Date of Decision: 21.01.2026

JAGDISH CHANDApplicant
Versus

MADHU AND ORSRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

Present: Mr. Tanvir Singh Grewal, Advocate for the applicant.

Mr. Karan Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
73	08.07.2011	Panjokhra	306/120B IPC

Challenging the judgment of acquittal dated 04.09.2018 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ambala, in the FIR captioned above, applicant has come up before this court by filing the application for leave to appeal.

2. Counsel for the applicant submits that applicant is stated to have been expired during pendency of the appeal.

3. Given above, application for leave to appeal is disposed of as abated. However, it is clarified that if legal heirs of the applicant want to challenge the acquittal of private respondents, filing of the present application shall not come in their way. It is further clarified that the time for which the present application was pending, shall not be counted for the purpose of limitation. Pending applications if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

21.01.2026
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes
Whether reportable? No