

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-7812-2026 (O&M)

Reserved on: 26.02.2026

Pronounced on: 05.03.2026

Uploaded on: 05.03.2026

RAMANPREET KAUR

...Petitioner

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Argued by: Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhbeer Singh, DAG Punjab.

SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL, J.

1. Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case arising out of FIR No. 40 dated 01.05.2025 under Section 108 Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, Police Station Mehta, District Amritsar Rural. This is the first petition for anticipatory bail.
2. Complainant stated that he had two daughters and a son. His elder daughter Kuldeep Kaur was married with Resham singh son of Tarsem Singh, 20 years ago. She had two children. Kuldeep Kaur was harassed and tormented by her husband Resham Singh and her sister-in-law(*Devrani*) Ramandeep Kaur wife of Roshan Singh, resident of Dhardeo, since long. Their relatives and well-wishers had been verbally requesting them many times but they did not stop harassing and beating his daughter. Kuldeep Kaur many a times informed them that Resham Singh and her sister-in-law Ramandeep Kaur abused her and her daughter and thrashed them. On 30.04.2025, at around 4.00 PM, Kuldeep Kaur made a video on her mobile phone and posted it on the mobile of his daughter Sandeep Kaur. In that video, she said that her family had given consent that she

and her daughter may end their lives. Later on, he learnt that Kuldeep Kaur and his grand-daughter Komalpreet Kaur had consumed some poisonous medicine and were taken to the Hospital, where Kuldeep Kaur was declared dead. After a while, Kompreet Kaur also died.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was not a member of the household of deceased, who was married with co-accused Resham Singh, 20 years ago. Petitioner was residing separately, though in the same premises. She had two children of her own and one child of the deceased to look after. The dispute was between the husband and wife and petitioner had no concern therewith. There was no previous complaint ever moved against the petitioner, who had been falsely implicated. He thus prayed that petitioner be enlarged on regular bail.

4. Learned State counsel has filed short reply and opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail on the ground that before committing suicide, deceased posted a video regarding her continuous harassment and ill-treatment by the petitioner and co-accused creating circumstances which forced her to end her live by consuming poison.

5. Considering the nature and substance of allegations against the petitioner, *prima facie* material against her, there are no extraordinary grounds to enlarge her on anticipatory bail. As such, without commenting on merits of the case, prayer for anticipatory bail is declined.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

Reserved on: 26.02.2026
Pronounced on: 05.03.2026

Ajay Goswami

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

: Yes/No
: Yes/No