

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2026:PHHC:038938



(215)

CRM-M-6784-2026
Decided on: 12.03.2026
Uploaded on: 13.03.2026

Mandeep Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Rahul Singh, Advocate for the petitioner (s).

Ms. Priyanka Sadar, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Sumeet Goel (Oral):

1. The petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 in case bearing FIR No.393 dated 23.06.2023 registered under Sections 148, 149, 201, 308, 323, 506 IPC at Police Station Shahabad, District Kurukshetra.

2. On 05.02.2026, the following order was passed:

“The petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 in case bearing FIR No.393 dated 23.06.2023 registered under Sections 148, 149, 201, 308, 323, 506 IPC at Police Station Shahabad, District Kurukshetra.

The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has not been named in the FIR but only in the disclosure statement of one of the named accused.

Notice of motion for 12.03.2026. Mr. Viney Phogat, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation and in

the event of his arrest, he shall be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of SHO/Investigating Agency subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS:

i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation before the Investigating Officer as and when required;

ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

iii) that the petitioner shall not leave the country, without prior permission of the Court and shall surrender his/her/their passport, if any.

Meanwhile, the State counsel is directed to file an affidavit as to the exact role of the petitioner along with the details of pending FIRs, if any, on or before the next date of hearing.”

3. Learned State counsel (on instructions) has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

4. Keeping in view the factual milieu of the case in hand, especially the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation, the petition is allowed and the order dated 05.02.2026 granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner is hereby made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

5. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

6. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
7. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.
8. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

12.03.2026
Naveen

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No