

115+137

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

- 1)

CWP-3383-2026
Date of decision: 09.02.2026

Teeka Ram and others

....Petitioners

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited and others

...Respondents
- 2)

CWP-3387-2026

Mobin Ali

....Petitioner

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited and others

...Respondents
- 3)

CWP-3557-2026

Surender Singh and others

....Petitioners

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited and others

...Respondents
- 4)

CWP-3898-2026

Harish Kumar and others

....Petitioners

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Karamveer Singh Banyana, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, Additional A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Prince Singh, Advocate
for the respondent(s) (in CWP-3387-2026).

Mr. Divyansh Shukla, Advocate for
Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, Advocate
for respondent(s) (in CWP-3383-2026;
CWP-3557-2026 & CWP-3898-2026).

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. This common order shall dispose of the aforementioned civil writ petitions as they arise from a similar factual matrix. However, for the sake of brevity, the facts are taken from CWP-3383-2026.

2. The present civil writ petition(s) has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari* for quashing the list dated 30.01.2026 (Annexure P-5).

3. Learned counsel for the respondent-Corporation, on instructions from Mr. Amit Kumar, Under Secretary HR (5), UHBVN, at the very outset, submits that the grievance raised by the petitioners regarding placing them in the transfer drive before completing minimum two years' tenure is concerned, the respondent-Corporation has decided not to place the promotees in the transfer drives in notional category and they will be put in the transfer drive on completion of two years' of tenure in terms of the judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No.20779 of 2025 titled as '***Mohit Dahiya and others Vs. State of Haryana and others***' decided on 08.08.2025 (Annexure P-7), as such, the present petitions have become infructuous.

4. This Court in ***Mohit Dahiya's case (supra)*** was informed through an affidavit filed by the respondent-Corporation that promotees who have not completed two years of service on the last posting would not be included in the notional category and they will be placed in the transfer drive only on completion of two years.

5. In view of the above, all the above mentioned writ petitions are disposed of accordingly. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner(s) to move an appropriate application under Article 215 of the Constitution of India to initiate contempt of Court proceedings in case any deviation is made by the respondent-Corporation from the statement made before this Court.
6. A photo copy of this order be placed on the file of connected cases.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

09.02.2026
Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No