



CWP-3864-2022

:1:

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

227

**CWP-3864-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision : 20.01.2026**

PRABHJEET SINGH

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA

Present :- Mr. Shreesh Kakkar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rohit Ahuja, DAG, Punjab.

Deepinder Singh Nalwa, J. (Oral)

1. In the present writ petition, the petitioner is praying for issuance of a direction to the respondents to permit the petitioner to withdraw his resignation and to allow him to work on his previous post of Lecturer, as done in the cases of similarly situated employees vide order dated 27.12.2019 (Annexure P-6), passed by respondent No.2.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that as the resignations of similarly situated employees were permitted to be withdrawn as such, the resignation submitted by the petitioner is liable to be taken back by the respondents and as per the decision taken by the

Government on 09.10.2018 (Annexure P-5), the services of the petitioner is liable to be regularized on the post of Lecturer.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of State submits that the notification dated 09.10.2018 (Annexure P-5) was issued by the State of Punjab with effect from 01.04.2018. It is contended that the petitioner was appointed in the Master Cadre under Sarv Shiksha Abhiyan/Rashtriya Madhyamik Shiksha Abhiyan Authority in the society. Resignation submitted by the petitioner was accepted by the competent authority on 07.11.2016 (Annexure P-3). Thereafter, the petitioner joined as a Science Master in the Department of Education on 08.11.2016 (Annexure P-4) as such, at the time of issuance of notification dated 09.10.2018 (Annexure P-5), the petitioner was not in the service of the society. In the case of employees referred to in Annexure P-6 is concerned, although they had been appointed in the Education Department but on 01.04.2018, they were in the service of the society. As such, their resignations were permitted to be withdrawn and their cases were considered for regularization.

4. After hearing learned counsel for the parties at some length, a perusal of the facts of the case would show that the employees referred to in Annexure P-6 cannot be treated as similarly situated as the petitioner for the reason that on the date of issuance of notification dated 09.10.2018 (Annexure P-5), which was made with effect from 01.04.2018, the employees referred to in Annexure P-6 were in the service of the society whereas in the case of petitioner, he was appointed in the Department of Education on 08.11.2016 (Annexure P-4) and was not in the service of the

CWP-3864-2022

:3:

society on 01.04.2018. As such, the petitioner cannot claim any parity with the employees referred to in Annexure P-6 for the purpose of withdrawal of resignation and regularization of his services on the post of Lecturer.

5. In view of above, this Court does not find any merit in the present petition.

6. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

(DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA)
JUDGE

20.01.2026

Rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No