

CWP-3337 of 2026

2026:PHHC:022494



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-3337 of 2026

Date of decision: 13.02.2026

Surjit Kaur and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: - Mr. Karan Nehra, Advocate, and
Mr. Manvinder Thakur, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Satnampreet Singh Chauhan, DAG, Punjab.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. Instant petition has been filed by the petitioners under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the official respondents to revise the pension/family pension of the petitioners at par/on the same pattern of the other pre-2016 retirees of the respondent as per the revised pension notification dated 29.10.2021 (Annexure P-8) from the date of entitlement of the petitioners along with granting the benefit of the additional pension/family pension in terms of Clause 6 of the notification dated 29.10.2021 on account of the fact that the petitioners along with other pre-2016 retirees in Group III and IV posts in various Zila Parishads and Panchayat Samitis constitute a homogenous class and pay all arrears along with 18% interest and for directing the official

2026:PHHC:022494



respondents to release pensionary benefits DA/DR, family pension other retiral benefits and all other consequential benefits as per the Sixth Punjab Pay Commission especially in line with notification dated 29.10.2021 (Annexure P-8), notification dated 18.02.2025 (Annexure P-9) and letter dated 12.05.2025 (Annexure P-10) along with all arrears @ 18% interest.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that to claim the aforesaid benefit petitioners have filed representation dated 17.07.2025 (Annexure P-11) before the respondents, however, no action has been taken therein till date. Learned counsel for the petitioners confines his prayer for deciding representation dated 17.07.2025 (Annexure P-11) and submits that at this stage, petitioners would be satisfied, if the said representation is considered and decided by passing a speaking order in a time-bound frame.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Satnampreet Singh, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and has no objection to the innocuous prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.

6. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioners in the present petition, respondent No.1 is directed to consider and decide the claim made by the petitioners in the representation dated 17.07.2025 (Annexure P-11)

CWP-3337 of 2026

2026:PHHC:022494



in accordance with law, by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

7. The petition stands disposed of.

13.02.2026
R.S.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No