



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-12720-2001

Date of Decision : **12.02.2026**

THE BHUNA COOPERATIVE SUGAR MILLS LTD.

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

**THE PRESIDING OFFICER INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-
LABOUR COURT, HISAR, AND ANR.**

.....Respondents

CWP-12721-2001

THE BHUNA COOPERATIVE SUGAR MILLS LTD.

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

**THE PRESIDING OFFICER INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-
LABOUR COURT, HISAR, AND ANR.**

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Ankur Sheoran, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

None for the respondents.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. Both the petitions are amenable to be decided together, as a common issue is involved therein, and with the consent of learned counsel for the petitioner, these are taken together, for final adjudication.
2. Through the instant petitions, filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner-management has challenged the

**CWP-12720-2001 &
CWP-12721-2001**

-2-

Awards passed by learned Industrial Tribunal (respondent no.1), wherethrough, the reference was answered in favour of the workmen (respondent no.2). Respondent no.2-workman in CWP-12720-2001 (Shri Niwas) was granted the relief of reinstatement with continuity in service alongwith all other consequential service benefits, but, without back wages. Whereas, respondent no.2-workman in CWP-12721-2001 (Desh Raj), was granted the relief of reinstatement in service with continuity alongwith other consequential service benefits, but with 25% back wages from the date of demand notice dated 18.02.1998 till reinstatement

3. Today, learned counsel for the petitioner informs this Court, that the petitioner-firm was under liquidation in the year 2006, and it was subsequently, put on auction through an open bid. One firm, M/s Wahid Sandhar Sugars Ltd., being the highest bidder, purchased the petitioner-firm 'on' 'as' 'is' 'where' 'is' basis, consisting of all the assets through a Letter of Intent dated 15.11.2006, and a sale agreement dated 19.01.2007.

4. He further submits that as on date the said sugar mill is lying closed. Therefore, he does not wish to press the instant petitions.

5. Asked for prayer is accepted.

6. **Dismissed** as not pressed.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of the connected case.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

February 12, 2026
dharamvir

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No