

**210 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

2026.PHHC.054615



**CRM-M-6933-2026 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision: 08.04.2026**

**LOVEJOT SINGH**

**... PETITIONER**

**VERSUS**

**STATE OF PUNJAB**

**... RESPONDENT**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present:- Mr. Ankur Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Surinderjit Singh Nahar, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. P.B.S.Goraya, Advocate for the complainant.

**H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)**

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) in FIR No.76 dated 12.06.2024 registered under Sections 307, 148, 149 of IPC read with Sections 25, 27 of Arms Act at Police Station Khalra, District Tarn Taran.

2. The case of the prosecution is that petitioner along with the co-accused had fired gunshots indiscriminately and as a result thereof, Gurpartap Singh, Gurwinder Singh, Chamkaur Singh and Sukhwant Singh started bleeding profusely and they fell on the ground.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. He submits that it is the complainant party who are the aggressor and attacked the petitioner side and the petitioner also sustained injuries and a DDR was also recorded.

He further submits that the petitioner is in custody for the last 01 year, 09 months and 24 days and is not involved in any other case. He, thus, prays for

grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned State counsel has opposed the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner on the ground of gravity of allegations and has filed the custody certificate and additional affidavit regarding status of trial in Court, which are taken on record. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for the last 01 year, 09 months and 24 days and out of total cited 24 prosecution witnesses, only 05 witnesses have been examined so far.

5. I have heard the learned State counsel and perused the record.

6. The arguments raised on behalf of the petitioner are disbelieved by the prosecution. The allegations against the petitioner are of serious nature. The 05 witnesses, who have been examined have also deposed against the petitioner. The firearm injury attributed to the petitioner is brutal in nature where gunshots were indiscriminately fired without any regard for human life and safety.

7. Considering the seriousness and gravity of the allegations, no ground is made out for granting regular bail to the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

**(H.S.GREWAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**08.04.2026**

Janki

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes/No |