



CRM-M-6577-2026

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

206

CRM-M-6577-2026
Decided on: 07.05.2026

Ramandeep @ Kalu

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Kartar Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Jhanda, Sr. DAG Haryana

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of FIR No.26, dated 22.01.2026, under Sections 21(b) and (Section 27-A has been added later) of NDPS, Act, registered at Police Station City Ratia, District Fatehabad.

2. On 04.02.2026, following order was passed:

“(i) *** ***(ii) ***

(ii) As per the case of the prosecution, while the police team was patrolling, they noticed a young man, later identified as Aman @ Sethi, acting nervously and walking away quickly upon seeing the police vehicle. On being stopped and questioned, Aman @ Sethi could not give a satisfactory explanation for his behavior. During the search, a transparent wax pouch containing 6.68 grams of heroin was recovered from the right pocket of his blue jeans. The recovered substance was weighed, sealed, and taken into police possession in the presence of witnesses.



(iii). *Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been implicated solely on the basis of the disclosure statement of the main accused, Aman @ Sethi, alleging that petitioner supplied the contraband. Counsel further submits that the disclosure statement is inadmissible as evidence under the law, as it is self-serving, not corroborated by any independent material evidence, and was made under custodial pressure. No other connecting evidence has been collected by the investigating officer to link the petitioner with the alleged offence. Moreover, petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation if he is protected from arrest. Thus, learned counsel prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner*

(iv). *Notice of motion.*

(v). *On advance notice, Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State. He seeks some time to file reply.*

(vi). *Adjourned to 07.05.2026.*

(vii). *In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of his arrest, petitioner shall be released on interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. Petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).*

(viii). *Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.*

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance to the directions issued by this Court vide order dated 04.02.2026, the petitioner has joined investigation.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions, confirms the said averment made by counsel for the petitioner of joining the investigation by the petitioner, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.



CRM-M-6577-2026

3

6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 04.02.2026 passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.
7. The petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.
8. However, present order would be subject to the submission of his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses, within a period of one week from today. Otherwise, he would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.
9. It is clarified that in case, aforesaid condition is not complied with, this order would be considered as *non est* automatically.

07.05.2026
reena

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned:	YES/ NO
Whether Reportable:	YES /NO