



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(226)

RSA-3804-2002 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-21.05.2026

PARSHOTAM LAL AND ANOTHER

... Appellants

Versus

BANSO AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Rohit Sharma, Advocate
for the appellants.

None for the respondents.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the appellants submitted that he has no contact with the appellants. Even the notice issued to the appellant No.1 received back with the report of his death. Appellant No.2 has refused to accept the notice and was served through affixation. No application for impleading the LRs of appellant No.1 has been filed. It seems that appellants have lost interest in pursuing the appeal.

2. As such, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the case on the docket, indefinitely.

3. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

4. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands dismissed for want of prosecution of, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

21st May, 2026
Poonam

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No