

2026:PHHC:036698



CWP-3605-2023 (O&M)

251

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-3605-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision : 10.03.2026**

Raj Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

The Home Secretary-cum-Chairman, Chandigarh Industrial & Tourism
Corporation Limited (CITCO) and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA

Present: Mr. Rupesh Kumar, Advocate
for the applicant-petitioner.

Mr. Raman B. Garg, Advocate with
Ms. Komal, Advocate for the respondents.

DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA, J. (Oral)

CM-10809-CWP-2024

1. This application has been filed under Section 151 CPC read with Order 8 Rule 10 of CPC for striking off the defence of the respondents, as the respondents have failed to file their written statement within the stipulated period of 90 days granted by this Court.
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant-petitioner wants to withdraw the present application.
3. In view of the above, the present application is dismissed as withdrawn.

**CWP-3605-2023 (O&M)**CWP-3605-2023

1. In the present writ petition, the petitioner has challenged the order dated 06.01.2023 (Annexure P-14), whereby, the designation of the petitioner has been illegally changed from Junior Salesman to Utility Worker.
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that once, the petitioner was deployed as Junior Salesman instead of Utility Worker, therefore, it has to be treated that the petitioner is working on the post of Junior Salesman. As such, designation of the post of the petitioner cannot be changed.
3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents submits that a perusal of Annexure R-3 would show that the petitioner has only been deployed as a Junior Salesman temporarily, as the substantive post of the petitioner is Utility Worker and not Junior Salesman and as such, it is not a case of re-designation of post in the case of the petitioner.
4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, on instructions from the petitioner, submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, if at this stage, direction is given to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation dated 17.01.2023 (Annexure P-15) submitted by petitioner in a time-bound manner.

**CWP-3605-2023 (O&M)**

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents does not oppose the prayer made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner.

6. In view of the above and without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation dated 17.01.2023 (Annexure P-15) submitted by the petitioner, in accordance with law/rules, within a period of 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

7. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand(s) disposed of.

10.03.2026

Ramandeep Singh

**(DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No