

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

2026:PHHC:038864



227

CRM-M-7525-2026

Date of decision: 12.03.2026

Date of uploading: 12.03.2026

Ayush Mishra

....Petitioner

V/s

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Prabsimran Singh & Mr. Dilraj Singh, Advocates
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana.

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNS 2023 for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.21 dated 07.06.2024 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 120-B, 406, 201 of IPC, at Police Station Cyber Crime, Rewari.
2. The case set up in the FIR in question (as set out by the petitioner in the present petition) is as follows:-

“Sir, it is respectfully submitted that I, Dipika Rani w/o Puneet Kumar, resident of Village Maheshwari, HNO-80, Vikasnagar,

Dharuhera. On Telegram link @financial 8888888888, on 02-06-2024, I saw a work from home message in which they gave me a task of 100, after that they showed me a winning amount of 300/-. Thereafter, they started giving me greed of more rupees and started giving bigger tasks, due to which they got transferred 30,000 from the beginning from my account number 00042995852803 on dated 02-06-2024.

On dated 02-06-2024 itself they got transferred ₹50,000/- into account number 00000042994706578. After that, they started showing me increased winning amount and by showing a bigger task told me to deposit more money, then I deposited 34,880 on dated 02-06-2024 in the account number told by them 8150010000009157 and on 03-06-2024 deposited 1,00,000 in the same account and on 04-06-2024 deposited 1,30,000 in the same account, and on dated 02-06-2024 deposited 50,000/- in account number 0202252400002501 and in the same account on dated 02-06-2024 deposited 50,000/- and on 03-06-2024 deposited ₹50,000/- in account number 8354010000014164. By cheating, unknown persons by giving lure of earning money by taking tasks committed cheating of a total amount of ₹4,94,880/-. Kindly take legal action against them and get my money returned set/-Dipika Rani Mobile No.: 9636730822 Dated: 07-06-2024 Today, respected sir, complaint no. 31306240030757 was received at the police station through MHA portal, on which notice to the concerned bank was sent through 91 CRPC mail and the complainant was informed to come to the police station along with relevant record, on which Dipika Rani w/o Puneet Kumar, resident of Village Maheshwari, HNO-80, Vikasnagar, Dharuhera appeared at the police station today and after presenting the above written application, from the facts of the application, the commission of cognizable offence under Section 420 IPC was found to be made out, therefore FIR No. 21 dated 07-06-2024 under Section 420 IPC was registered and copies of FIR were prepared through computer as per rules, which shall be sent for information through special messenger to the area Magistrate Sahib or senior officers or a copy shall be handed over to the complainant, and the original application is kept on the file.”

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is in custody since 12.10.2025. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has further submitted the petitioner has been falsely implicated into the FIR in question. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has further argued that, in fact, the petitioner himself is a victim as his mule account has been used by co-accused namely Rahul and Aaryan Sharma. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has suffered incarceration for more than 4 months. Thus, regular bail is prayed for.

4. Learned State counsel opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner by arguing that the allegations raised are serious in nature and, thus, the petitioner does not deserve the concession of regular bail. Learned State counsel seeks to place on record custody certificate dated 10.03.2026 in Court, which is taken on record.

5. I have heard counsel for the parties and have gone through the available records of the case.

6. The petitioner was arrested on 12.10.2025 whereinafter investigation was carried out and challan was presented on 15.11.2025. Total 8 prosecution have been cited and it is the conceded position before this Court that none of the prosecution witnesses has been examined till date. It is not in dispute that conclusion of trial will take long time. The rival contentions of the learned counsel for the parties; as to the whether the petitioner has been falsely implicated into the FIR, shall be gone into during the course of trial. This Court does not deem it appropriate to delve deep into these rival contentions, at this stage lest it may prejudice the rights of either of the parties. Nothing tangible has been brought forward

to indicate the likelihood of the petitioner absconding from the process of justice or interfering with the remaining prosecution evidence.

7. As per the custody certificate dated 10.03.2026 filed by the learned State counsel, the petitioner has suffered incarceration for 4 months & 28 days and is not shown to be involved in any other FIR/case.

Suffice to say, the further detention of the petitioner in custody is not required in the facts and circumstances of the case.

8. In view of above, the petition in hand is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned concerned CJM/Duty Magistrate. However, in addition to conditions that may be imposed by the concerned CJM/Duty Magistrate, the petitioner shall remain bound by the following conditions:-

- (i) The petitioner shall not mis-use the liberty granted.
- (ii) The petitioner shall not tamper with any evidence, oral or documentary, during the trial.
- (iii) The petitioner shall not absent himself on any date before the trial.
- (iv) The petitioner shall not commit any offence while on bail.
- (v) The petitioner shall deposit his passport, if any, with the trial Court.
- (vi) The petitioner shall give his cell phone number to the Investigating Officer/SHO of concerned Police Station and shall not change his cell-phone number without prior permission of the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate.
- (vii) The petitioner shall not in any manner try to delay the trial.

9. In case of breach of any of the aforesaid conditions and those which may be imposed by concerned CJM/Duty Magistrate as directed hereinabove or upon showing any other sufficient cause, the State/complainant shall be at liberty to move cancellation of bail of the petitioner.
10. Ordered accordingly.
11. Nothing said hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.
12. Since the main case has been decided, pending miscellaneous application, if any, shall also stands disposed off.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

March 12, 2026
Naveen

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No