



CRM-M-6432-2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

205

CRM-M-6432-2026
Decided on: 07.05.2026

Simranjeet Singh @ Manu

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Ms. Shruti Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Ranwant Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, DAG Punjab

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of FIR No.157, dated 31.05.2025, under Section 21 of NDPS Act, 1985 and Section 29 of NDPS Act, 1985, added later on, registered at Police Station Gharinda, District Amritsar, Punjab.

2. On 04.02.2026, following order was passed:

“(i) *** **

(ii) As per the case of the prosecution, the main accused, Karandeep Singh @ Karan, was found to have 100 grams of heroin in the right pocket of his lower garment (pajama), which he attempted to throw along with a polythene bag, but he was apprehended, and the recovery of 100 grams of heroin was effected. Upon the disclosure statement of the said arrested accused, Karandeep Singh @ Karan, on 02.06.2025, vide Rapat No. 38, Section 29 of the NDPS Act was added, implicating the petitioner as an accused in the case with the allegation that it was the petitioner who supplied the recovered heroin to the main accused, Karandeep Singh @ Karan.

(iii). Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that, except for the disclosure



CRM-M-6432-2026

statement, which is inadmissible evidence, no other connecting evidence has been collected by the investigating officer, and therefore, the petitioner has been falsely implicated. Moreover, the petitioner is ready and willing to cooperate with the investigation if protected from arrest. Learned counsel, therefore, prays for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

(iv). Notice of motion.

(v) On advance notice, Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State. He seeks some time to file reply.

(vi). Adjourned to 07.05.2026.

(vii). In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of his arrest, petitioner shall be released on interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. Petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).

(viii). Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance to the directions issued by this Court vide order dated 04.02.2026, the petitioner has joined investigation.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions, confirms the said averment made by counsel for the petitioner of joining the investigation by the petitioner, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 04.02.2026 passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

7. The petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when



CRM-M-6432-2026

required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

8. However, present order would be subject to the submission of his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses, within a period of one week from today. Otherwise, he would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

9. It is clarified that in case, aforesaid condition is not complied with, this order would be considered as *non est* automatically.

07.05.2026
reena

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned:	YES/ NO
Whether Reportable:	YES /NO