



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

157

**CRWP-1382-2026 (O&M)
Decided on: 10.03.2026**

RAVNEET KAUR**.....Petitioner****Versus****U.T. CHANDIGARH AND ANOTHER****.....Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA**

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Vij, Addl. P.P., U.T., Chandigarh.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

On 06.02.2026, the following order was passed by this Court
which is reproduced as under:

“ Petitioner submitted that a connected petition i.e. CWP-PIL-273-2025 is pending adjudication before Hon’ble the Chief Justice of this Court.

Learned State counsel submitted that this is a second petition and earlier petition i.e. CRM-M-64294-2025 filed by the present petitioner has already been dismissed by this Court vide order dated 17.11.2025, copy of which is taken on record.

List on 23.02.2026.

Petitioner is directed to move a request before Hon’ble the Chief Justice for clubbing of the cases.”

Subsequently, an application was moved seeking transfer of main case before Hon’ble the Chief Justice and the same was listed on 16.02.2026 whereby following order was passed which is reproduced as under:



“1. This application has been filed under Articles 226/227 read with Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 to transfer the main case bearing CRWP No.1382 of 2026 to get the same listed before Hon’ble the Chief Justice with suo moto petition bearing case No. CWP-PIL/273/2025.

2. On the last date of hearing i.e. 06.02.2026, applicant/petitioner submitted that connected petition bearing CWP-PIL-273-2025 is pending adjudication before Hon’ble the Chief Justice of this Court and prayed that the main petition be clubbed with the connected case. Hence, the applicant/petitioner was directed to move a request before Hon’ble the Chief Justice for clubbing of the cases, but she failed to do so. Instead, she has filed an application before this Court to transfer the present case to the bench of the Hon’ble Chief Justice of this Court.

3. Notice in the application.

4. Mr. Rajiv Vij, Addl. P.P., UT Chandigarh, present in the Court, accepted notice on behalf of respondent-UT Chandigarh and opposed the request made by the petitioner by submitting that the present application amounts to abuse of process of law, and as such, warrants dismissal.

5. Heard.

6. The present applicant/petitioner is an accused in the criminal proceedings in question i.e. in case bearing FIR no. 119 dt. 24.09.2025, under Section 67, IT Act, 2000, and Section 356, BNS registered at PS Cyber Crime Sector 17, Chandigarh. As such, the accused cannot be permitted to choose the forum/bench before which a matter is to be listed and adjudicated.



7. *Accordingly, in view of aforesaid discussion, the present application is **dismissed**.*”

On 23.02.2026, the matter could not be taken up as Punjab and Haryana High Court Bar Association resolved to remain absent from work in the post-lunch session and the same was adjourned to 10.03.2026.

Even today, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner today.

As such, it seems that the petitioner does not intend to pursue the case.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

10.03.2026

Sonia Puri

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO

Whether Reportable: YES/NO