



CRM-M-6912-2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

206

CRM-M-6912-2026
Decided on: 11.05.2026

Barinderbir Singh alias Barinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Ms. Shrishti S. Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Vinay Malhotra, DAG Punjab

Mr. Abhishek Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Kamalpreet Singh, Advocate
for respondent No. 2

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of FIR No.71 dated 18.05.2025, registered under Section 125, 25 and 27 of BNS, 2023 and 25/27 of Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Jhabal, District Tarn Taran.

2. On 06.02.2026, following order was passed:

“(i) xx xx xx xx

(ii) Complainant Ranjodh Singh alias Jodha got registered the aforementioned FIR against total four accused, namely, (i) Jarmanjeet Singh, (ii) Barinder Singh, (iii) Mandeep Singh, and (iv) Jashandeep Singh. As per the allegations, on 13.05.2025, at 7.20 P.M., all the said accused along with two other unknown persons were while boarding the vehicle Volkswagon, bearing registration No. DL08-CY-0292, chased the complainant and then with a motive



to frighten him, petitioner –Barinderbeer Singh alias Barinder Singh, while sitting in the car, fired two shots in air with his 315 bore rifle and due to which complainant fell down on the floor along with the motorcycle. Thus, submits that there being no injury to the person of the complainant, no offence can possibly be alleged to have been committed by the petitioner and his other coaccused.

It is also informed that after the incident, dispute between the parties, i.e., members of the petitioner party and the complainant party, have already resolved dispute through amicable means. Therefore, prays for grant of anticipatory bail in the present case.

(iii) *Notice of motion.*

(iv) *On advance notice, learned State counsel puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State, and seeks some time to respond to the submissions addressed by learned counsel opposite, after seeking instructions.*

Besides, he also submits that no bullet injury has been suffered by anyone in the present incident. However, he is unable to comment over the amicable settlement, if any, arrived at between the parties.

(v) *At this stage, Mr. Kamalpreet Singh, Advocate, puts in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 (complainant), and he endorses the factum of compromise as correct.*

(vi) *Adjourned to 11.05.026.*

(vii) *In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency.*

In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).

(viii) *Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.*

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that on account of arrest of the petitioner in some other case, he could not join the investigation in compliance of directions issued by this Court on 06.02.2026, therefore, he may be permitted to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file fresh one , if so advised, after his

release on bail in the other case.

4. Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforementioned liberty.

11.05.2026

reena

Whether Speaking/Reasoned:

Whether Reportable:

(SANJAY VASHISTH)

JUDGE

YES/~~NO~~

~~YES~~/NO