

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 06.04.2026

....Petitioners

.....Respondents

**CORAM :** **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA**

Service upon respondent No.2 dispensed with  
vide order dated 30.09.2025.

\*\*\*\*

**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)**

1. In the present petition, the challenge is to the impugned order dated 12.10.2023 (Annexure P-1) and 31.01.2023 (Annexure P-2) passed by respondent No.2-Armed Forces Tribunal, Regional Bench, Chandigarh (hereinafter referred to as 'the Tribunal') by which, disability pension @ 20% along with benefit of rounding off to 50% disability pension from the date of discharge, has been allowed to respondent No.1.
2. Respondent No.1 has not been served and as per the office report, he is not residing at the address given.
3. Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that present petition may kindly be dismissed for want of prosecution, but liberty be given to revive in case any execution proceedings are initiated by respondent No.1 so as to serve him at that point of time.
4. Ordered accordingly.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**  
**JUDGE**

**(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)**  
**JUDGE**

*Sandeep*

Yes

No