

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

211

2026:PHHC:019114



**CRM-M-6223-2026 (O&M).
Date of decision: 09.02.2026.**

SATYAM**...Petitioner(s)****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB****...Respondent(s)****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ**

Present :- Mr. Devansh Khanna, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

This is the first petition filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in
case bearing FIR No.144 dated 11.12.2023, under Section(s) 324 and 34 of
the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Section(s) 326 and 323 IPC added later on),
registered at Police Station Division D, District Police Commissionerate,
Amritsar.

The aforesaid FIR was registered on the statement of Lovepreet
Singh son of Iqbal Singh. The translated version of the FIR reads thus: -

“Statement of Lovepreet Singh son of Iqbal Singh, resident of

house no. 2203, street no. 03, Near Satti Matti School Gujjarpura, Amritsar aged around 40 years, mobile no. 6283983470 made a statement, I am resident of above said address and I do work of Carpenter, on 02.12.2023 at around 8.00 in the night my son Gursahil Singh his friend Kabir son of Brij Mohan resident of street Devi Wali Jaura Pipal Amritsar and Manak alias Shaunki, resident of Chowk Atta Mandi, Amritsar on the motorcycle of Kabir were going to buy clothes at Shakti Nagar Market. When they reached at Pullan Wala Chowk near Kanhiya Sweet Shop then from the opposite side one motorcycle on which four boys are riding who hit the motorcycle in the motorcycle on which my son and his friend are there from the front side then these boys came down of motorcycle and Karan alias defaulter resident of Teliyan Wali Gali Namak Mandi Amritsar gave a Knife blow to my son Gursahil Singh on the left side of his head. Then Satyam resident of Jattan Wala Bazar and one unidentified boy caught hold of my son by Arms and Laksh alias Kalu son of Neelu resident of Gujjarpura near police chowki gave a Knife blow on my son. One blow hit on the left side of head and second blow hit on the neck and then Karan defaulter gave Knife blow on my son one blow given on left rib area and another blow given on shoulder. In order to save him, his friend Kabir came in front then Laksh and unidentified boy held Kabir from his arms and Satyam gave kirch blow on Kabir which hit on the left side of head and he gave two blows on left side of head, then Karan Defaulter gave knife blow on Kabir which hit on his left side of stomach and then both of them were in blood and fell down on the ground. Then while they fell down Laksh, Karan, Satyam and their friend undefined boy gave foot blow. We raised raula Killed us Killed us then people started gathering and then accused persons along with their weapons ran from the spot on their motorcycle. In this regard my son told me on phone I and my elder brother Sahib Singh came at the spot and arrange for vehicle and went to police station D Division and

after taking docket from there went to civil hospital Amritsar and got them admitted. Today I was coming to you for taking action against the above said persons legal action may be taken. I have heard the statement, it is correct. Lovepreet Singh signed in Punjabi attested by Gurmeet Singh ASI Police Station D Division Amritsar.... ”.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that the FIR in the present case was registered after ten days of the occurrence. He contends that a *kirch* blow on the head is attributed to the petitioner whereas knife blow on the head, abdomen and chest is attributed to co-accused Karan @ Defaulter @ Karan Pathak to whom the concession of regular bail has already been granted by this Court vide order dated 19.05.2025 passed in CRM-M-26140-2025. He contends that the petitioner is in custody since 01.05.2025 and has already undergone an actual custody for a period of more than 08 months in the present case which is triable by the Court of Judicial Magistrate. He further contends that charges in the present case have not been framed so far and total 15 prosecution witnesses are to be examined, hence, the conclusion of trial shall take long.

State counsel, on the other hand, does not dispute the facts noticed above, however, he contends that injury attributed to the petitioner attracts Section 326 IPC. He further does not dispute that the petitioner is in custody since 01.05.2025 and is not involved in any other case and also that the co-accused of the petitioner have been released on bail.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the clean antecedents of the petitioner, the nature of the attribution against the petitioner, the period of custody undergone by the

petitioner, the stage of the trial where evidence is yet to commence and the fact that same being triable by the Judicial Magistrate, shall take long time to conclude and also bearing in mind that the co-accused of the petitioner have already been granted regular bail, I deem it appropriate to allow the present petition. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing requisite bail bond/surety bond to the satisfaction of the Trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witnesses in any manner directly or indirectly.

The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

February 09, 2026.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No