



103

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-6617-2026

Date of Decision :25.02.2026

M/s Transfarm Agro Private Limited and Another ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and OthersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. A.S Narang, Advocate
Ms. Ishita Kaur, Advocate and
Mr. Satbir Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Satjot Singh Chahal, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. R.S. Rai, Senior Advocate with
Mr. T.S. Khaira, Advocate,
Mr. Anurag Arora, Advocate,
Mr. Arjun Rai, Advocate and
Mr. Rehan Gupta, Advocate
for respondents no.6 and 7.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)

The petition has been filed seeking directions to respondents no.1 and 2 to constitute a Special Investigation Team (S.I.T.) to investigate the matter and to register an FIR against the accused persons.

2. It is apparent from the affidavit dated 17.02.2026, filed by Mr. Nanak Singh, Deputy Inspector General of Police, Rupnagar Range, that looking into the allegations levelled by the petitioner, FIR no.20 dated 15.02.2026, under Sections 324(4), 329(4) and 351(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, Annexure R-1/T, has been registered at Police Station, Bhagwantpur, and the grievance stands redressed.



3. Learned counsel for the petitioners, however, contends that nobody has been named as an accused in the FIR, despite specific allegations having been levelled against the respondents. Respondent no.4 has specifically been accused of siding with the accused. Still the investigation has been ordered to be conducted under his supervision as SHO/Incharge of the concerned police station. Accordingly, the petitioner may be allowed to submit a representation to respondent no.5/DIG, Ropar Range, apprising him of the aforesaid facts and seeking appropriate directions, including the appointment of a Gazetted officer to conduct further enquiry in the matter.

4. Learned Senior Counsel appearing for respondents No. 6 and 7 on advance notice, has opposed the submissions and contended that the allegations levelled by the petitioner are false and baseless. In fact, the petitioners are trespassers and have unlawfully encroached upon portions of the property which have not been leased out to them.

5. In view thereof, the petition is disposed of giving liberty to both the parties to represent their case to respondent no.5, who will take appropriate action as may be required in accordance with law.

February 25, 2026

ps

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*