

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

2026 PHHC 040678-DB



214

CRA-D-168-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 16.03.2026

Chaman Lal

...Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and Ors.

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR**

Present: Mr. Vikas Kumar, Advocate for the appellant.
Mr. Yuvraj Shandilya, AAG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (Oral)

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
410	12.11.2014	Surajkund, Faridabad	148, 149, 323, 307, 452, 506 IPC and 25 of Arms Act

CRM-13544-2020

Allowed as prayed for.

CRA-D-168-2020

Aggrieved by the judgment of acquittal of respondents under Section 307 and 452 IPC, the complainant has come up before this Court.

2 Counsel for the appellant submits that respondent No.2 to 7 were convicted for the offence under Sections 148/323/506 read with 149 IPC, however, acquitted under Section 307/452 IPC despite the fact there is enough evidence against them for conviction under Section 307/452 IPC.

3 Needless to say that the accused were convicted for offences punishable under Sections 323, 506, 148 read with 149 IPC. We have perused the judgment passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Faridabad, and as per which the reason for acquittal was that the claim of the complainant of gun shot being fired was totally disbelieved. It was for the reason that no gun shot signs were noticed nor any bullets were recovered and no injury was stated to have been caused by gun shot, even the evidence was not sufficient to

prove that they entered in the room of the victim, as all the articles of room i.e. table, chair were at their place.

4 Counsel for the complainant submits that an iron rod was used which could itself make a case under Section 307 IPC. In case, even if an iron rod was used it has to be established that any injury which could have been fatal was caused by such rod. The attribution is by the gun shot not by the rod. Moreover, there is no criminal record to support this version.

5 Given above, there is no merit in the present appeal. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed. All pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

6 It is clarified that the observations made herein are only for this appeal and it shall have no bearing in the appeal if any, filed by the convicts against their conviction.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

16.03.2026
jyoti3

Whether speaking/reasoned?	:	Yes/ No
Whether reportable?	:	Yes/ No