



In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh

Criminal Misc. No. M-7077 of 2026

Date of Decision: 30.04.2026

Surinder Kaur

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Surya Partap Singh.

Present: Mr. Arshdeep Singh Brar, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. I.P.S.Sabharwal, Deputy Advocate General,
Punjab, for the respondent.

Surya Partap Singh, J.

1. This petition for anticipatory bail is the first petition filed by the petitioner under Section 482 of 'the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023'. It has been filed with regard to a case arising out of FIR No. 10 dated 11.01.2026, for the commission of offence punishable under Section(s) 110, 115(2), 190, 191, 324(4) and 351(2) of 'the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023' Police Station Baghapurana, District Moga, Punjab.

2. At the very outset, it has been pointed out by the learned State counsel that in the present case Section(s) 118(1) and 118(2) of BNS has been invoked during the course of investigation, and that the above-mentioned Sections do not find mentioned in the present petition.

3. In view of above-mentioned submission, the learned counsel for the petitioner has made an oral request to incorporate Section(s) 118(1) and

118(2) of BNS in the head-note and prayer clause of the petition.

4. In view of above, the request of learned counsel for the petitioner is hereby accepted. It is hereby ordered that in the head-note and prayer clause the offence punishable under Section(s) 118(1) and 118(2) of BNS be added in addition to already existing Sections. Now the same be read as Section(s) 110, 115(2), 190, 191, 324(4) and 351(2) [Sections 118(1) and Section 118(2) added later on] of BNS. Amended petition shall be filed by learned counsel for the petitioner today itself.

5. Vide order dated 09.02.2026, the petitioner was admitted to interim anticipatory bail, subject to the condition of furnishing bonds to the satisfaction of arresting officer. It was also directed that the petitioner shall join the investigation.

6. The learned State Counsel has filed status report. The same be taken on record.

7. Heard.

8. It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that in compliance with order dated 09.02.2026, the petitioner has already joined the investigation, and that nothing has been left to be recovered from the possession of petitioner. In view of above, the learned counsel for the petitioner has requested that the order dated 09.02.2026 be made absolute.

9. The learned State counsel, on instructions from 'ASI Harmesh Lal', has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation, and that for further investigation of this case her custodial interrogation is not required.

10. The record has been perused carefully.

11. Keeping in view the aforesaid submissions and the fact that the

petitioner has already joined the investigation, it is hereby ordered that the petitioner is entitled for anticipatory bail. Hence, the present petition is hereby **allowed** and the order dated 09.02.2026, whereby the petitioner was accorded the benefit of interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute.

(Surya Partap Singh)
Judge

April 30, 2026
“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No